

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/892,677		06/27/2001	Kent D. Cedola	50037.13US01	3958	
27488	7590	01/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHA		OULD	AL HASHEMI, SANA A			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2171		
				DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/892,677	CEDOLA ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Sana Al-Hashemi	2171						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 D</u>	<u>ecember 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement							
,	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
, ,	ranning. Note the attached embe	7.00.011 01 101111 1 10 102.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	a priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110/a	) (d) or (f)						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language profits the priority document is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for document	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the certified copies not received in Application priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(est sentence of the specification or povisional application has been received in priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  ed in this National Stage  ed.  e) (to a provisional application)  in an Application Data Sheet.  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 2171

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claim Status: 1-20 are rejected.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/2/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu (US Patent No. 6,463,427).

1. Regarding Claims 1, 11, and 18, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for synchronizing data between a first data store and a second data store (see Fig. 1, 3, and 4, Wu), comprising:

receiving from the second data store a request to synchronize data objects on the second data store with data objects on the first data store (see column 6, lines 33-36, Wu);

determining whether a sync state table exists associated with the second data store, the sync state table identifying the data objects on the second data store (see column 6, lines 16-22, Wu);

Art Unit: 2171

if the sync state table does not exist, creating the sync state table to identify the data objects on the second data store (see column 6, lines 37-40, Wu);

issuing a search request to the first data store; in response to the search request, receiving a manifest of data objects on the first data store (see column 6, lines 22-31, Wu);

and including in the sync state table any objects identified in the manifest with an action other than a delete action (see column 6, lines 44-51, Wu).

- 2. Regarding Claim 2, Wu discloses a method further comprising passing the altered manifest including the add event to a mobile device on which resides the mobile data store (see column 7, lines 53-64, Wu).
- 3. Regarding Claim 3m Wu discloses a method wherein the altered manifest includes modifications that describe add events, change events, and delete events (see summary of the invention, column 2, lines 21-23, Wu).
- 4. Regarding Claims 4, and 8, Wu discloses a method wherein the add events, change events, and delete events describe actions to be performed on objects that reside in the mobile data store (see Fig. 5, 6, Wu).
- 5. Regarding Claim 5, Wu discloses a method wherein the manifest, prior to being altered, does not contain a modification that describes an add event, and wherein the altered manifest contains at least one modification that describes an add event (see column 8, lines 21-26, Wu).
- 6. Regarding Claim 9, Wu discloses a method wherein the request to synchronize comprising a search request for objects on the data store that have changed since a prior

Art Unit: 2171

synchronization transaction between the data store and the mobile data store (see column 7, lines 27-36, Wu).

- 7. Regarding Claim 10, Wu discloses a method wherein the request to synchronize comprises a search request for objects on the data store that have been deleted since a prior synchronization transaction between the data store and the mobile data store (see column 10, lines 4-7, Wu).
- 8. Regarding Claim 12, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising including in the sync state table the object that was not in the sync state table (see column 8, lines 58-63, Wu).
- 9. Regarding Claim 13, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising passing the manifest with the altered record to the second data store (see column 7, lines 21-26, Wu).
- 10. Regarding Claim 14, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising passing the object that was not in the sync state table to the second data store (see column 8, lines 63-67, Wu).
- 11. Regarding Claim 15, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium wherein the first data store and the second data store each contain copies of the collection of data objects (see column 3, lines 8-15, Wu).
- 12. Regarding Claim 16, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium wherein the data objects comprise e-mail messages (see column 6, lines 45-48, Wu).
- 13. Regarding Claim 17, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium wherein the data objects comprise contact information objects (see column 6, lines 48-51, Wu).

Art Unit: 2171

- 14. Regarding Claim 19, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising for any object identified in the manifest but which was not identified in the sync state table, associating an add event in the manifest with those objects (see column 6, lines 52-58, Wu).
- 15. Regarding Claim 20, Wu discloses a computer-readable medium further comprising passing the manifest including the associated add events to the second data store (see column 7, lines 27-32, Wu).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, and 7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of the Peiya liu

Regarding Claims 6, and 7 Wu does not discloses the method of the manifest is created in accordance with a Document Authoring and Versioning (DAV) protocol. However, the Siemens Corporate research teaches the method of the DAV protocol used in manifest creation (see page 76-79, Peiya Liu), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the DAV protocol to create and

Art Unit: 2171

modify the document with the motivation of keeping all the synchronized data updated and categorized properly by creating new add events if needed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant argues "Wu does not discloses "altering the action associated with the corresponding object to an add event" or "including in the sync state table any objects identified in the manifest with an action other than a delete action".

Examiner disagrees. Referring to column 6, lines 10-67, Wu teaches the method of allowing the creation and updating of databases for different types of object which in other words reads on altering action, since the system allow the user to creates and update the databases.

Applicant argues Wu does not teach "passing the altered manifest including the add event to a mobile device on which resides the mobile data store."

Examiner disagrees. Referring to column 7, lines 49-64, Wu teaches the step of passing the signature, which is a new event add to the document.

Applicant argues "add events...[that] describe action to be performed on objects that reside in the mobile data store" and Wu teaches synchronization of information stored in objects stores on a desktop computer and a mobile device.

Examiner response if the data has been stored on a desktop and mobile device, the limitation claimed has been met by the reference.

Art Unit: 2171

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2171

# Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to: Sana Al-Hashemi whose telephone number is. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-1436. Any response to this office action should be mailed to: The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. Or telefax at phone number (703) 746-9098. For formal or draft communications, please label "PROSPOSED" or "DRAFT". Hand-delivered response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Sana Al-Hashemi Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 January 21, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
CHNOLOGY CENTER 2100